



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/325,143	06/03/1999	CHIN-HUI LEE	LEE22-1	2458	
47394	7590 12/03/2004		EXAMINER		
	HITT GAINES, PC			GAUTHIER, GERALD	
LUCENT TECHNOLOGIES INC. PO BOX 832570			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
RICHARDSO	RICHARDSON, TX 75083			2645	
			DATE MAILED: 12/03/2004	4 27	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	09/325,143	LEE, CHIN-HUI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Gerald Gauthier	2645				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl' - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Fe	ebruary 2004.					
·- ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o</li> </ul>						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	ite atent Application (PTO-152)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Art Unit: 2645

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fenton et al. (US 6,035,017) in view of Haddock (US 5,742,736).

Regarding **claim 1**, Fenton discloses a method of listening to key segments in a voice message (column 1, lines 6-9) comprising the steps of:

identifying a key segment (column 3, lines 55-66) [The voice message processor 20 identifies the key segment input by the user];

storing characteristics of the key segment (column 3, line 66 to column 4, line 2)
[The voice message processor 20 stores the key segments in the action record 232];
receiving a voice message (column 4, lines 46-64) [The voice messaging
program 22 receives the caller's message]; and

Application/Control Number: 09/325,143

Art Unit: 2645

comparing the stored characteristics of key segment against the voice message to detect the key segment in the voice message (column 4, line 46 to column 5, line 16) [The voice messaging program 22 compares the caller's message trough the speech recognition program 22 to detect the key segment in the caller's message for a match in the action record].

Fenton discloses a voice recognition program to detect a particular segment in the caller's voice message and attached a previous determined application to the message but fails to disclose tagging the message for a later playback on the user's request.

However, Haddock teaches tagging a location of the key segment in the voice message (column 7, lines 5-22) [The personal message manager 10 associates a marker to the segment of the message with the particular marker key defined by the user];

receiving an enquiry to listen to the key segment in the voice message (column 7, lines 24-32) [The user clicks on the specific marker for the segment of message desired on the personal message manager 10]; and

retrieving the key segment from the location for playback (column 7, lines 24-32) [The personal message manager 10 plays back the message segment associated with that particular marker enquired by the user].

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Fenton using the personal message manager as taught by Haddock.

Application/Control Number: 09/325,143

Art Unit: 2645

Page 4

This modification of the invention enables the system to tag a particular segment of the message so that the user would retrieve all the segment of messages associated with that particular marker.

Regarding **claims 2 and 7**, Fenton discloses a registering the key segment by storing an identification and a characteristic of the key segment (column 3, lines 55-66).

Regarding **claim 3**, Fenton discloses a predefining the key segment (column 3, lines 55-66).

Regarding **claim 4**, Haddock teaches the enquiry for the key segment includes speech (column 7, lines 38-47).

Regarding **claims 5 and 8**, Haddock teaches a pronunciation of the key segment (column 7, lines 38-47).

Regarding claim 6, Fenton and Haddock disclose all the limitations of claim 6 as described on claim 1's rejection above.

## Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to **claims 1-8** have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/325,143

Art Unit: 2645

### Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gerald Gauthier whose telephone number is (703) 305-0981. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Fan Tsang can be reached on (703) 305-4895. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**GERALD GAUTHIER PATENT EXAMINER** 

g.g.

November 18, 2004

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600 Page 5